

**F.No. TS-16021/2/2021-TUFS Section-Part(2)**  
**Government of India**  
**Ministry of Textiles**

Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi  
23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2022

**OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

**Subject: Minutes of 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Inter-Ministerial Steering Committee (IMSC) under Amended Technology Upgradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) – reg.**

The undersigned is directed to refer to 6<sup>th</sup> meeting of Inter-Ministerial Steering Committee (IMSC) under Amended Technology Upgradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) held under the Chairpersonship of Hon'ble Minister of Textiles and Co-chaired by Hon'ble Minister of State for Textiles on 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2022 at 3:00 PM and to forward a copy of the minutes of the meeting for information and necessary action.

  
(Anil Kumar K.C)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India  
Email : [anilkumar.kc@gov.in](mailto:anilkumar.kc@gov.in)

To,

1. Secretary, Ministry of Textiles
2. Secretary, Department of Expenditure
3. Secretary, Department of Financial Services
4. Secretary, Department of Commerce
5. Secretary, Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion
6. Secretary, Ministry of Heavy Industry
7. Secretary, Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises
8. Deputy Governor, Reserve Bank of India
9. Special Secretary, Ministry of Textiles
10. Additional Secretary & Financial Advisor, Ministry of Textiles
11. Development Commissioner (Handlooms), New Delhi
12. Joint Secretary (TM&T), Ministry of Textiles
13. Textile Commissioner, Ministry of Textiles, Government of India
14. Jute Commissioner, Ministry of Textiles, Kolkata
15. Adviser, (in-charge of the textile industry), NITI Aayog, New Delhi
16. Member Secretary, Central Silk Board, Bengaluru
17. Chairman & Managing Director, IDBI
18. Chairman & Managing Director, SIDBI
19. Chairman & Managing Director, IFCI

20. Chairman, State Bank of India
21. President, Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association of India (TMMAI), Mumbai
22. Chairman, Confederation of India Textile Industry (CITI), New Delhi
23. Chairman, Federation of India Art Silk Weaving Industry (FIASWI)
24. Chairman, Indian Woollen Mills Federation (IWMF)
25. Chairman, Powerloom Development & Export Promotion Council (PDEXCIL)
26. Chairman, Indian Jute Mills Association (IJMA), Kolkata
27. President, Clothing Manufacturers Association of India (CMAI), Mumbai
28. Chairman, Indian Technical Textile Association (ITTA), Mumbai
29. Chairman, South India Spinners Association, Coimbatore
30. Chairman, The Southern India Mills' Association, Coimbatore
31. Chairman, Apparel Export Promotion Council (AEPC)- Special Invitee

Copy to:

1. PS to HMoT
2. PS to HMoST
3. DS(TM&T)



**Minutes of the 6<sup>th</sup> meeting of Inter Ministerial Steering Committee (IMSC) under Amended Technology Up-gradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) held on 28.04.2022**

6<sup>th</sup> meeting of Inter Ministerial Steering Committee (IMSC) under Amended Technology Up-gradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) chaired by Shri Piyush Goyal, Hon'ble Minister of Textiles (HMoT) and co-chaired by Smt Darshana Jardosh, Hon'ble Minister of State for Textiles (HMoST) was held in hybrid mode at 3:00 p.m. on 28<sup>th</sup> April 2022 in Room No. 162, Udyog Bhavan, New Delhi. List of the participants is at Annexure-I.

At the outset, Additional Secretary (Textiles) welcomed the HMoT, HMoST and all members of the IMSC. The Textile Commissioner made a detailed presentation on the agenda items before the Committee (First on ATUFS related items followed by items pertaining to older versions of TUFs). The decisions taken by IMSC are reorganised accordingly, as follows:

**Agenda No. 1: Confirmation of the minutes of the 5<sup>th</sup> meeting of the IMSC held on 22.10.2021.**

The minutes of the 5<sup>th</sup> meeting of IMSC held on 22.10.2021 under ATUFS were circulated vide Ministry of Textiles letter dated 05.11.2021.

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: IMSC noted that no comment had been received from the members and hence, confirmed the minutes of the 5<sup>th</sup> meeting of IMSC.

**Agenda No. 2: Action taken report on the decisions taken in the 5<sup>th</sup> meeting of the IMSC held on 22.10.2021.**

Action taken report (ATR) on the minutes of 5<sup>th</sup> meeting of IMSC held on 22.10.2021 is at **Annexure –II.**

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC confirmed the ATR on the minutes of 5<sup>th</sup> meeting of IMSC. With regard to the scheduling of IMSC meetings, it was decided to hold IMSC in first month of every quarter instead of last month of the quarter. Hon'ble Minister directed to fix the date either 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> Friday of 1<sup>st</sup> month of every quarter on account of busy schedule of banks in the beginning of month.

**Agenda No. 3: Review of progress of TUFs:**

IMSC was informed that a total 2855 cases have been settled under all versions of TUFs (2513 cases settled under ATUFS, 342 cases under previous version of TUFs viz. MTUFS, RTUFS,



RRTUFS bank routed and RRTUFS- MMS) in F.Y. 2021-22. Following progress of Scheme on various aspects was presented to IMSC:

a. Progress of issuance of UIDs under ATUFS (as on 31.03.2022)

Description	Total cases	Provisional Subsidy (Rs. in crore)
UIDs issued	14392	4963.25

b. Segment wise details of UIDs issued under ATUFS as on 31.03.2022:

(Rs. in crore)

#	Segment Name	UID Issued	Project Cost	Provisional Subsidy
1	Garmenting(15%CIS)	1469	3327.47	340.47
2	Handloom(10% CIS)	60	56.30	04.57
3	Jute(10% CIS)	13	16.52	01.31
4	Multi activity (10%CIS/15%CIS)	2293	31693.05	2039.02
5	Processing(10% CIS)	1622	6602.54	445.28
6	Silk(10% CIS)	30	41.44	02.71
7	Technical Textile(15% CIS)	534	4243.68	396.42
8	Weaving(10% CIS)	8371	23182.03	1733.47
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>14392</b>	<b>69163.03</b>	<b>4963.25</b>

c. Progress of utilization of allotted fund for the financial year 2021-22

(Rs. in crore)

S. No	Scheme	Allocation	Expenditure
1	ATUFS	650 (as revised with RE)	475.28
2	MTUFS		5.91
3	RTUFS		4.42
4	RRTUFS(bank routed )		125.47
5	RRTUFS (MMS)		13.92
	<b>Total*</b>		<b>625.00</b>

Under ATUFS, total subsidy support of Rs. 475.28 crore has supported investment worth Rs.24,828 crore till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2022. Projected employment supported 7.32 lakhs.

IMSC was informed that during 2021-22, the investment and employment supported under the scheme were Rs.10,192 crore and 3.45 lakh persons respectively, despite mandays loss and operational constraints due to two waves of COVID-19.

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC noted the progress of TUFs, acceleration of pace of settlement of claims and utilisation of allocated funds for FY 2021-22 under the scheme.

**Agenda No. 4: Request to condone delay in submission of UID application after 6 months from date of term loan due to manual processing as per recommendation of 25<sup>th</sup> TAMC**

Owing to manual processing of UID applications during transition phase, there has been delay in submission of the UID applications, not attributable to unit/ lending agency. Therefore, as recommended by the 25<sup>th</sup> TAMC, condoning delay in submission of UID after 6 months from the date of sanction of term loan may be considered. A request was forwarded to MoT vide letter dated 17.03.2022. In reply, MoT vide letter dated 01.04.2022 has directed to place the agenda in upcoming IMSC (case details are at **Annexure-III**).

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: After detailed deliberations, IMSC decided to allow condoning delay for submission of UID applications after six months from the date of term loan and also submission of JIT request beyond two years from the date of term loan of the case. It was also directed to have a policy decision to address such matters for the cases in which delay is attributed due to official process on account of transition from one scheme to another and not due to delay by the beneficiary. Office of Textile Commissioner may examine and ratify such cases for relaxation after complying with the due consultative process of TAMC. List of such cases may be placed for information of IMSC.

**Agenda No. 5: Request to condone delay in uploading of JIT report beyond the period of relaxation in timeline (upto 7 days beyond original 2 days) accorded to Textile Commissioner as per the decision of 5<sup>th</sup> IMSC.**

As per decision of the agenda item No.6 (E) of the 5<sup>th</sup> meeting of IMSC held on 22.10.2021, Textile Commissioner was authorized to accord maximum relaxation upto 7 days beyond initial 2 days on acceptable evidence for condoning delay of timeline for cases where JIT report is not uploaded within 2 days of inspection. The timeline to upload JIT report prior to the 5<sup>th</sup> IMSC decision was 2 days from the date of inspection.

Office of TxC has received 13 cases (List of units at **Annexure-IV**) in which Regional Offices could not upload JIT report within the timeline prior to the decision of the 5<sup>th</sup> IMSC. Textile Commissioner has been authorized to relax delay in uploading of JIT report up to 9 days / effectively breaching the 9 days timeline in the above said 13 cases.



It was recommended to condone delay in uploading of JIT reports in the above mentioned cases due to reasons cited below:

1. This is a procedural issue largely on account of systemic restrictions and hence, should not come in way of processing cases where JIT has been done.
2. There is no financial risk involved in operating this relaxation to upload pending JIT reports as release is subject to processing of cases as per provisions in GRs and decisions of IMSC/ TAMC only.

The 25<sup>th</sup> TAMC held on 22.02.2022 has recommended the above cases for taking up with the Ministry for condoning delay in upload of JIT report. It was proposed to condone delay and allow uploading the JIT report of the said cases for settling the claims.

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC decided to allow condoning delay for upload of thirteen cases where JIT reports were not uploaded within 9 days from the date of inspection.

**Agenda No. 6: Reduction in time required for data validation in PFMS from 24hrs to 12hrs for release of subsidy under ATUFS.**

In the 25<sup>th</sup> meeting of TAMC under ATUFS held on 22.02.2022, the lending agencies were requested to explore the possibilities to reduce the PFMS data validation time from 24hrs to 12hrs for release of subsidy without further delay. The issue was deliberated in the dedicated meeting with member banks.

**Decision in Banker's meeting:** It has been deliberated and decided that all the member banks will reduce the validation time to 12 hrs from 24 hrs as it will help in crediting subsidy to the account of the beneficiary in a faster mode for achieving the objective of DBT.

**Decision of 26<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee ratified the decision taken in the bankers' meeting for reduction of the validation time to 12 hrs from 24 hrs as it will help in transfer of subsidy to the beneficiary account speedily.

**The agenda was placed for information of IMSC as a step for easing compliance burden and facilitating flow of support to the beneficiary units under DBT mode.**

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC accepted the decision of TAMC in ratifying the proposed reduction of time for validation of data in PFMS from 24 hours to 12 hours for speedy transfer of fund to the beneficiary accounts.

**Agenda 7 ATUFS (a):**



**Circulated as Supplementary Agenda Point No-06**

ATUFS Cell vide section note dated 30.03.2022 forwarded case of M/s VKA Polymers Pvt Ltd (Beneficiary) (ATUFS/2018-193109). The unit has claimed the Air compressor under MC 02-81 supplied by Ms ATLAS COPCO (INDIA) LTD with the invoice No KCB03304 dated 15.11.2018 and the model of GATIVSD- FF compressor which has an inbuilt inverter and inbuilt air dryer (as confirmed by Regional Office (In Charge) (ROIC) visit) with an installed motor capacity of 11 kW. As per the specification in the same plate photo submitted by JIT, the Motor Power of the said Air compressor is 11 KW As per the standard conversion applied 11 KW will become 14.75 HP and hence it is below the specifications mentioned in the ATUFS guidelines of 15 HP and above.

Regional Office (In Charge) further visited the unit and confirmed that the specification mentioned in the Air compressor 11 KW and Air Dryer Motor specification is mentioned as 0.54 KW and as such the consolidated KW of Air compressor is 11.54 KW. Thus, final power would be 15.475 HP; hence the machine qualifies the eligibility under ATUFS.

TUFS Cell requested to confirm that specification of the Air compressor mentioned in the ATUTS guidelines (Air Compressor 15 HP and above with in-built invertors and with or without air driers) is in line with the availability of the machine specification in the market.

**Decision Taken by ITC:** The Committee noted that nowadays the standard market practice of motor manufacturers is to mention the motor capacity in KW instead of HP. So accordingly, the specification may be modified as follows:

S.No.	Annex. No	S. Name of the machine with specification – Existing	Name of the machine with specifications- Revised
1.	MC-01-A-8	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with inbuilt invertors and with or without air driers for Air Jet looms only	Air Compressor 11 K.W. and above with inbuilt invertors and with or without air driers for Air Jet looms only
2.	MC-01-C-10	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with inbuilt invertors and with or without air driers	Air Compressor 11 K.W. and above with inbuilt invertors and with or without air driers
3.	MC-02-81	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with in-built invertors and with or without air driers	Air Compressor 11 K.W. and above with in-built invertors and with or without air driers
4.	MC-02-70	Air Compressor 15 H.P. and above with in-built invertors and with or without air driers	Air Compressor 11 K.W. and above with in-built invertors and with or without air driers

**Decision Taken by 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee discussed the case and accepted the recommendations of the ITC and referred to IMSC/MoT for revision in GR.

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC after detailed deliberations noted that for machines MC-01-A-8, MC-01-C-10, MC-02-81 and MC-02-70 mentioned in Annexure of GR under ATUFS

2016, capacity of Air Compressor is 15 H.P and above whereas as per the standard market practices of motor manufacturers, the motor capacity is depicted in KW instead of HP. Hence the IMSC decided that the specifications mentioned for the Air compressor of equivalent capacity with the existing specifications mentioned in the Annexure of GR under ATUFS may be considered.

It was directed that a clarificatory note, by way of a speaking order may be issued by O/o TxC with all detailed reasoning which would be applicable to all cases from the start date of the scheme.

**Agenda 7 (b) ATUFS:**

**Circulated as Supplementary Agenda no. 2:** TUFS Cell has vide Note dt. 24/01/2022 has forwarded the representation of M/s. Autotech Non-Wovens Pvt. Ltd., who has purchased 4 machines from M/s. Yamuna Machine Works Limited, Vapi. All the four machines were purchased by the unit in 2016. The name plates are found attached with the machine, mentioning the model, machine serial number and year of manufacture with marker pen in contradiction to the extant guidelines. Out of the four machines, on three machines the details such as name of machine manufacturer, machine serial number and manufacturing year are shown on the PLC screens while starting the machine.

As per M/s. Autotech Non-Wovens Pvt. Ltd., they have complied with the guidelines applicable at the time of purchase of their machine i.e. the stipulation at para 6.4 step -3, installation of machinery, sub-para No. 6.4.2 of ATUFS guidelines published vide GR dated 29<sup>th</sup> February, 2016. The make, month/year or manufacture, name of the manufacturer, and serial number of the machinery are clearly indicated on the machine(s) and the 'Machinery Identification Code' (MIC) has also been inscribed on the machine. The extant guidelines related to the name plate have been taken after the 14<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> September, 2019 only whereas their procurement was effected in 2016.

R.O., Ahmadabad while considering on the spot observations, the then guidelines and other details as submitted by the unit, have recommended the case for release of subsidy, subject to fulfilment of other provisions of the guidelines.

**Decision Taken in 35<sup>th</sup> ITC:** The Committee opined that as this is a policy matter it may be taken up in the forthcoming TAMC meeting for further discussion in the matter.

**Comments of TxC Office:** Identifications of machines details from the PLC is not advisable. However, the unit had purchased the machines in the year 2016. Hence, guidelines applicable in accordance to GR dated 29<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 may only be seen for arriving on the eligibility of the claim.

**Decision Taken by 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** After detailed deliberation, the Committee noted that since the machine was purchased in the year 2016, hence, as per GR dated 29.02.2016, the Committee



recommended to consider processing of the particular claim subject to other conditions being fulfilled as per ATUFS guidelines and on following grounds:-

- i. Machine is verified by JIT during physical inspection
- ii. Machine is supplied and identity certified by the reputed manufacturer.
- iii. Specific case as Machine purchased in the year 2016 and GR modified in 2019 w.e.f 29.02.2016 hence need not insist on that particular case.

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: IMSC after detailed deliberations decided to allow processing of the particular claim subject to other conditions being fulfilled as per ATUFS guidelines and on following grounds:-

- i. Machine is verified by JIT during physical inspection and identity also verified from PLC digitally.
- ii. Machine is supplied and identity certified by its manufacturer.
- iii. Specific case as Machine purchased in the year 2016 and GR modified in 2019 w.e.f. 29.02.2016 hence need not insist on that particular case.

**Agenda 7( c)ATUFs:**

**Circulated as Supplementary Agenda Point No-07: Admissibility of claims involving multiple invoices with different dates for the same machine where some invoices are prior to term loan sanction date.**

The above agenda was discussed during 14<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting held on 25.09.2019. Decision of the 14th TAMC along with Agenda point no. 8 is reproduced below:

*A few instances have been observed where multiple invoices were raised at different dates for the same machine. While some of the invoices were raised before the date of term loan sanction, remaining were raised post the date. Para 4.2.7 read along with Para 4.7 of ATUFS guidelines dated 29.02.2016, which is applicable to these claims, requires that the purchase date i.e., date of commercial invoice should be after date of loan sanction for the claim to be eligible under ATUFS. One interpretation of the guidelines would imply that the claim is partly admissible despite the machine meeting technology benchmark. In such a scenario, the amount mentioned in invoices raised post term loan sanction date would be admitted for subsidy calculation. Alternately, if purchase date is considered as the date on which last invoice was raised, the entire claim will be admissible. As per Para 7.3 of RR on ATUFS, 'Purchase date shall be the date when full and final payment is made by the entity for machinery as evidenced by the bank transaction statement or the date of commercial invoice whichever is later'. Further as per MoT's O.M. dated 13th August 2019, this para is applicable for claims where UID application has been made on or after 02.08.2018.*



***Decision of the 14th TAMC: In case of purchases made against multiple invoices for the same machine, if the value in the invoices issued post term loan sanction date constitute more than 50% of basic cost of eligible machine, then the entire invoices of the machine will be considered eligible for subsidy under the scheme.***

In this context, clarity on the eligibility criteria of the above decision of 14<sup>th</sup> TAMC is required for value of the invoices prior and post term loan sanction dates are exactly 50% of the total value of machines.

**Decision of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** It was decided that if the value of the invoices issued post term loan sanction date constitute 50 % or more of the basic cost of eligible machine then the entire invoices of the machine will be considered eligible for subsidy under the scheme.

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC noted that if the value of the invoices issued post term loan sanction date constitute 50 % or more of the basic cost of eligible machine then the entire invoices of the machine will be eligible for subsidy under the scheme.

**Agenda No.8 (a) : Older versions of TUFs :**

**Circulated as Supplementary Agenda No.-5 : Consideration of 6% Interest Reimbursement and 15% Capital Subsidy for Electronic Jacquard/Dobby purchased in separate invoices by the unit in the same project**

As per MC-15 under the GR on RRTUFS under heading “ List of Brand new Shuttleless looms eligible for 15% Capital subsidy and 6% Interest reimbursement under TUFs” it is mentioned as “ *Rapier loom:- Weft insertion rate not less than 650 mtrs. per minute with or without electronic doobby/ electronic jacquard*’

Further, stand-alone electronic Jacquard/Dobby is eligible for 5% IR only as per Annexure-MC-5-b-7(Jacquard and Dobby on stand-alone basis).

As per guidelines of RRTUFS, the Shuttleless looms purchased with electronic Jacquard/Dobby are eligible for 6% IR+15% CS. The electronic Jacquard/Dobby purchased separately will be considered for 5% IR only.

In this connection, M/s Sutlej Textiles and Industries Ltd, Valsad, Gujarat have represented that they have purchased Shuttleless Rapier looms without electronic Jacquard from ITEMA, HongKong and Electronic Jacquard from Bonas, Belgium. They have requested to consider both Shuttleless Rapier looms and Electronic Jacquard for 6% Interest Reimbursement + 15% Capital Subsidy as the Shuttleless loom manufacturer (ITEMA) is not manufacturing electronic jacquard. Therefore, they have purchased shuttleless Rapier loom without electronic jacquard from ITEMA and electronic jacquard from Bonas separately and installed in their unit.

The above matter was discussed in the 1<sup>st</sup>& 2<sup>nd</sup> Technical Committee (TC) Meeting held on 20.01.2022 and 06.04.2022 and recommendation is as under:

**Decision of TC:** The Committee noticed that none of European countries Shuttleless looms manufacturers are manufacturing electronic jacquard/dobby and as per trade practice, the

shuttleless looms and electronic jacquard/dobby are purchased on separate invoices from different manufacturers, hence recommended for considering same benefits (@6% IR and 15% CS under RRTUFS for both shuttleless looms and electronic jacquard/dobby though purchased separately by the unit, if same are purchased under same project and the time gap between the commercial invoices of both shuttleless looms and electronic jacquard/dobby is not more than 3 months. The Committee recommended to refer the case to TAMC.

**Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee deliberated and decided to consider the cases in which the Shuttleless looms and Electronic Jacquard/Dobby purchased separately from different manufacturers and installed for 15% Capital Subsidy and 6% Interest subsidy under Segment Brand New Shuttleless looms ANNEX-MC15 under GR on RRTUFS provided the same are purchased under same project with the time gap between the commercial invoice of both shuttleless loom and electronic jacquard/ doobby is not more than 3 months.

**The above decision of TAMC was placed for ratification of IMSC.**

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC ratified the decision of TAMC that in case Shuttleless looms and Electronic Jacquard/Dobby purchased separately from different manufacturers and installed for 15% Capital Subsidy and 6% Interest subsidy under Segment Brand New Shuttleless looms ANNEX-MC15 under GR on RRTUFS provided the same are purchased under same project with the time gap between the commercial invoice of both shuttleless loom and electronic jacquard/ doobby of not more than 3 months. This is to be a policy decision for similarly placed cases.

**Agenda No 8 (b) : Older Versions of TUFs**

**ECN for SIDBI assisted cases: Circulated as Agenda 7:**

In several cases pertaining to SIDBI, JIT reports have been returned by RO (OIC) due to non-availability of ECN number. SIDBI has stated that under RTUFS/RRTUFS they issued Eligibility Certificate Number (ECN) to cases assisted by other PLIs only and there was no direction towards issue of ECN to SIDBI assisted cases. OTxC in its OM dated 14.10.2019 addressed to all ROs has advised that subsidy applications should not be returned on the ground of non-availability of ECN number in the submitted mandatory documents.

As per the Protocol, the concerned bank has to upload ECN Certificate/Eligibility assessment under TUFs by the Nodal Agency, a mandatory document in i-TUFs, in case of accounts pertaining to co-opted PLIs and TUFs Cell of the concerned bank in case of Nodal Bank. Nodal Agencies had to issue eligibility assessment document for accounts pertaining to co-opted PLIs as well as self assisted cases. Hence, SIDBI needs to provide eligibility assessment document as it is understood that SIDBI had issued ECN certificate /document establishing eligibility under the scheme after following due diligence and examining eligibility



assessment of the claims. The issue was deliberated in a dedicated meeting with SIDBI and again in bankers meeting.

**Decision in Banker's meeting:** It has been decided that SIDBI will share ECN document or the equivalent document issued after determining eligibility before lodging the claim for their own assisted cases. It has also been decided that it will also be applicable for the cases assisted by them for co-opted PLIs.

**Decision of 26<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** Representatives of SIDBI and all the members of the TAMC agreed to the decision that SIDBI will share ECN document or the equivalent document issued after determining eligibility before lodging the claim for their own assisted cases. It has also been decided that it will also be applicable for the cases assisted by them for co-opted PLIs.

**The above decision was placed for information of IMSC**

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC noted the decision of 26<sup>th</sup> TAMC for ECN on SIDBI assisted cases that SIDBI will share ECN document, corroborative document or the equivalent document issued after determining eligibility before lodging the claim. Further the applicability of the same for the cases assisted by them for co-opted PLIs also.

**Agenda No. 8 (c): Older TUFS: Circulated as Agenda 8: Eligibility Assessment Document-other than SIDBI**

In case of accounts in which the banks have uploaded mandatory documents in terms of protocol dated 14/06/2019 without eligibility assessment document are returned by the ROs to banks while processing the documents for considering the account for JIT inspection. As per the said Protocol the bank concerned has to upload ECN Certificate/Eligibility assessment under TUFS by the Nodal Agency, in i-TUFS, in case of accounts pertaining to co-opted PLIs and TUFS Cell of the concerned bank in case of Nodal Bank.

As per the provisions in the GRs on MTUFS, RTUFS and RRTUFS, Nodal banks shall maintain requisite database of company/project wise eligibility established/pending references for TUFS eligibility / interest reimbursement effected etc for information to OTxC, Mumbai / MoT, GOI and parliament questions, if any. Further, in one of the meetings State Bank of India and other nationalised banks has stated that before lodging the claim under the scheme, the bank must have determined the eligibility.

In view of the above, eligibility assessment document is one of essential documents considering the claims under the schemes.



**Decision in Banker's meeting:** All participant bankers informed that they have eligibility assessment document and or equivalent document hence it would be provided whenever required/sought.

**Decision of 26<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee agreed to the decision that banks have eligibility assessment document and or equivalent document hence it will be provided whenever required/sought by Office of the Textile Commissioner.

**The above decision was placed for information of IMSC.**

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC noted the decision of 26<sup>th</sup> TAMC for ECN of other than SIDBI that banks have eligibility assessment document, ECN document, corroborative document or the equivalent document hence it will be provided whenever required/ sought by Office of the Textile Commissioner.

**Agenda 8 (d)**

**Circulated as Supplementary agenda 4 sub agenda 2.**

**Supplementary Agenda No. 4:- For Previous versions of TUFS for special JIT (Joint Inspection Team)**


The second meeting to review the progress of Special JIT and to expedite the JIT under previous version of TUFS (MTUFS, RTUFS and RRTUFS) held at 11.00 on 04.04.2022 pm at Central Silk Board (CSB), Bangalore in the presence of Smt. Roop Rashi, IA&AS, Textile Commissioner and Shri Rajit Ranjan Okhandiyar, IFS, Member Secretary, Central Silk Board.

During the meeting the Nodal Officers of CSB have raised the following two issues:

1. Difficulty in uploading the video record of JIT inspection.
2. Seeking revised Format-F from JIT after excluding ineligible machines.

**Sub Agenda No. 2: Submission of revised Format-F after removing ineligible machines by JIT.**

As an outcome of review of older TUFs processing at Bangalore, Nodal Officers of CSB informed that Banks and units are not responding to the clarifications sought by the HQ/ROs of the Textile Commissioners Offices. In respect of **Format-F** which contains quarter wise subsidy released, to be released and recovery of subsidy released. **Format-F** is being prepared by the JIT member nominated by the Bank. Preparation of revised Format-F duly signed by all three JIT members again is very difficult. Hence, they requested to look in the matter.



In this regard, point No. 2.3.3.1.o. of Protocol on physical inspection of the machinery procured under ongoing subsidy accounts pertaining to previous version of TUFS dated 14.06.2019 issued by the MOT is reproduced as under:

***2.3.3.1.o: The Report of the JIT will be final and subsidy will be released based on the physical verification and certification of the JIT in the Format-A.***

***Further point no 2.3.4.c, the Office of the Textile Commissioner will check that all the documents as per the protocol have been signed by the JIT and are enclosed with the report. The Office of the Textile Commissioner will also check whether JIT report has been duly recommended by the JIT before sending it to MoT for further action. Textile Commissioner shall accord approval for eligibility within seven (7) days from the receipt of report from the Joint Inspection Team and forward to MoT for release of subsidy.***

As per the said provision in the protocol, **Annexure-E** and **Annexure-F** being referred back for revising subsidy schedule through RO from JIT members. The CSB during the meeting has reported that banks are not responding to their request even after repetitive reminders. In this regard, as deliberated in the meeting with CSB, the matter has been placed before TAMC that if bank/ unit does not reply to the query even after two reminders (to be issued with 15 days interval) a final notice to them may be issued by Regd. AD stating that within 21 days the claim will be settled based on the documents available and provided by the JIT. The subsidy may be restricted on eligible found machine(s) for which revised quarter wise subsidy schedule will be provided by the TUFS cell of the concerned bank. The TUFS cell of the concerned bank will also affect the recovery, if any arisen due to the said process. The process will help to expedite disposal of the long pending claims in time bound manner. This is required as per the Protocol issued by MoT hence need to be taken up with MoT.

**Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee deliberated and took inputs of banks which are the main stakeholder in the process. It was decided that in order to avoid delay in obtaining revised documents and settlement of claim, two reminders with a span of 21 days to submit revised documents sought by Head Office/ Regional Office under intimation to the concerned Nodal Office of TUFS cell of the bank and a final notice by Regd. AD stating that within 21 days the claim will be settled based on the documents available and provided by the JIT may be sent to the branch of the concerned bank. The subsidy may be restricted on eligible found machine(s) for which revised quarter wise subsidy schedule will be provided by the TUF cell of the concerned bank. The TUF cell of the concerned bank will also effect the recovery, if any arisen due to the said process. This will help facilitate settlement.

**The above decision of TAMC was placed for ratification of IMSC**

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: IMSC after deliberations ratified the decision of TAMC that in order to avoid delay in obtaining revised documents and settlement of claims, two reminders to the branch of the concerned bank with a span of 21 days to submit revised documents will be sought by Head Office/ Regional Office under intimation to the concerned Nodal Office of TUFS cell of the bank and a final notice by Registered Post along with AD stating that within 21 days the claim will be settled based on the documents available and provided by the JIT(Joint Inspection

Team). The subsidy will be restricted on machine(s) found eligible for which revised quarter wise subsidy schedule will be provided by the TUFs cell of the concerned bank. The TUFs cell of the concerned bank will also affect the recovery, if any arises due to the said process.

**Agenda No 8(e) :Older TUFs:**

**Circulated as Supplementary agenda 1. Sub agenda 1:**

**Supplementary Agenda No. 1:-Issues pertaining to Previous Versions of TUFs discussed in 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Internal Committee under previous versions of TUFs (MTUFs, RTUFs and RRTUFs), held at 04.30 pm on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2022**

**Sub Agenda No. 1:-Variation in segment declared in UID and actual**

Three accounts of the same entity with three UIDs under RRTUFs having similar issue mentioned as under:

In the three JIT reports of these three accounts, it has been mentioned in segment as per UID is Technical Textiles while the unit is actually involved in production of Texturized Yarn & Knitted Fabrics, hence unit is ineligible in terms of IR and CS under Technical Textiles.

Under RRTUFs, outlay is earmarked into two categories i.e., spinning and other (non-spinning) only. Sectoral caps were prescribed for these two broad segments. Hence the proposed segment change within the category does not violate the subsidy cap earmarked for the category. JITs and RO have not recommended the claims due to variation in segment.

**Decision of the 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Internal Committee:** The Committee deliberated and is of the view that JITs and Regional Office have not recommended the claims due to variation in the segment declared in UIDs and the segment in which the unit is actually involved. As per the actual production activity of the unit i.e., Production of texturized yarn and knitted fabrics, they are eligible for 5% interest reimbursement subsidy (IR) per se. Under RRTUFs, the subsidy was earmarked to spinning and to other (non-spinning) segments. Also, GR under RRTUFs is silent on consideration of modification/change in segment in UID. Change in the segment within the other (non-spinning) segments does not result in change in earmarked subsidy. Hence, the Committee was of the view that the change of segment within other (non-spinning) under RRTUFs within overall committed liability mentioned in UID subject to fulfillment of all other conditions under the scheme may be considered. It was decided that the matter may be placed in TAMC for taking it up with IMSC as it was outside the purview of GR under the scheme.

**Decision of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** TAMC considered that under RRTUFs, the subsidy was earmarked to spinning and to other (non-spinning) segments. Also, GR under RRTUFs is silent on consideration of modification/change in segment in UID. Change in the segment within the other (non-spinning) segments does not result in change in earmarked subsidy. Hence, TAMC viewed that the change of segment within other (non-spinning) under RRTUFs within overall committed liability mentioned in UID subject to fulfillment of all other conditions under the scheme may be recommended and taken up with MoT as GR under the scheme is silent on the issue. However, if there is Percentage change in case of admissible IR, then it will be restricted to the lowest.

**The decision of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC for change of segment within other (non-spinning) under RRTUFS within overall committed liability mentioned in UID subject to fulfilment of all other conditions under the scheme and necessary modification in GR under the RRTUFS was placed for ratification of IMSC.**

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: After detailed deliberations, the IMSC has decided to defer the matter and directed Office of Textile Commissioner to examine such matters thoroughly.

**Agenda no 8(f): Older TUFS**

**Circulated as Supplementary Agenda 1 sub Agenda 2:**

**Sub Agenda No. 2:-To consider A-1 Format in place of RR-1 and RR-2**

R.O. Noida has informed that some of the Nodal Banks giving reporting Format A-1 instead of RR1 and RR2 which has been prescribed vide protocol citing the reasons that RR1 and RR2 is not available with the bank. Despite repetitive return of application by RO, the banks are submitting the application with A-1 Format only.

As per the decision taken by IMSC in its 4<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04.09.2014 regarding the procedure for submission and processing of the claims under TUFS, MoT vide OM No. 6/18/2014-TUFS dated 29-10-2014 had forwarded the brief guidelines on Technology Upgradation Fund Scheme (TUFS). According to the said OM, the entrepreneur will ensure that the documents required for obtaining UID are submitted to the banks within six months of the sanction of Term Loan and also furnish information to the Textile Commissioner in Format A1 along with relevant documents such as DPR, Bank's sanction letter regarding Term Loan.

Office of Textile Commissioner vide, Circular No.2 (2014-2015 series) dated 03.12.2014 has informed to all Stakeholders that the process for development of comprehensive software for management of TUFS in this regard is under progress. Therefore, the relevant Forms/Check Lists are not yet digitized and hence presently not available online. Therefore, all lending agencies were advised that till such time the Forms/Check Lists, which were to be put in the online system, duly filled in and signed scanned copies thereof may be sent to the office of the Textile Commissioner till entire process of management of the scheme is started through comprehensive software of TUFS. The i-TUFS portal has been made operational during November, 2015 and till that time entrepreneurs were furnishing information to the Textile Commissioner in Format A1.

**Decision of the 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Internal Committee:** The Committee deliberated and was of the view that details required in Reporting Formats RR-1 and RR-2 were there in Format A1 and hence, Format A1 may be accepted. However, for consideration of the decision of the Internal Committee, it needs to be sent to TAMC and MoT as RR1 and RR2 are the part of protocol.

**Decision of the 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** TAMC deliberated and considered that Format A1 comprises data captured in RR-1 and RR-2 and is more inclusive. In fact RR-1 and RR-2 is sub-set of Format



A1. By accepting Format A1 there will be no compromise on the details of RR-1 and RR-2. Hence, TAMC viewed that Format A1 may be considered and approval of MoT may be sought as RR-1 and RR-2 are mandatory as per the Protocol.

**The decision of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC for consideration of format A1 in lieu of RR1 and RR2 and modification in the protocol to consider A1 of RR1 and RR2 was placed for ratification of IMSC.**

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC ratified the decision of TAMC to consider format A1 in lieu of RR1 and RR2 and directed to modify the protocol to consider A1 or RR1 and RR2.

**Agenda No 8 (g) Older TUFS:**

**Circulated as Supplementary agenda 1 Sub Agenda No. 3: Non-availability of Reporting Formats N-1 and N-2 for MTUFS.**

For MTUFS, N-1 is reporting format submitted by the branch of the bank to their TUFS Cell/ Nodal for examination of eligibility of the project/ term loan for subsidy under TUFS. Whereas N-2 is reporting format for submitting actual TUFS related specifications of the plant and machinery/ equipment proposed under the project. Based on the N-1 and N-2 documents, TUFS Cell of the bank determines eligibility of the project and term loan eligible for interest reimbursement.

N-1 contains information on the basic details of the unit (name, address, PAN No., SSI/ Non-SSI status, company status), existing capacity, proposed capacity, project cost and term loan details.

N-2 contains list of machines proposed to be acquired along-with basic cost and annexure of the GR under which it is covered.

RO Noida has informed about non-availability of Reporting Formats N-1 and N-2 documents which is mandatory as per the protocol.

For N-1 the corroborative/ equivalent document could be DPR/project report submitted to the bank with loan application, registration certificates with Government authorities. For N-2, the equivalent document could be extract of the project report providing the machines proposed to be purchased, extract of the internal process note of the eligibility assessment under the scheme wherein the total basic cost amount of machines considered eligible under the scheme is available.

**Decision 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Internal Committee:** The Committee deliberated and was of the view that eligibility was determined by TUFS Cell of the bank on the basis of Reporting Formats N-1 and N-2 submitted by the branch of the concerned bank. Hence, equivalent document/ corroborative document in place of N1 and N2 may be considered. However, for consideration of the decision of the Internal Committee, it may be placed before TAMC and MoT as the document is mandatory as per the protocol.

**Decision of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** TAMC deliberated and considered that documents like DPR/ project report submitted to the bank with loan application, registration certificates with Government

authorities and extract of the project report providing the machines proposed to be purchased, extract of the internal process note of the eligibility assessment under the scheme wherein the total basic cost amount of machines considered eligible under the scheme will provide the details captured in N-1 and N-2. Hence, TAMC viewed that these documents may be considered as equivalent document/corroborative document in place of N1 and N2 and approval of MoT may be sought as N-1 and N-2 are mandatory as per the protocol.

**IMSC was requested to ratify the decision of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC for consideration of corroborative documents like DPR/project report submitted to the bank with loan application, registration certificates with Government authorities and extract of the project report providing the machines proposed to be purchased, extract of the internal process note of the eligibility assessment under MTUFS wherein the total basic cost amount of machines considered eligible under the scheme in lieu of N1 and N2. Accordingly necessary modification in the protocol may be made.**

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: After detail deliberations, the IMSC decided as follows:

Modified TUFS (MTUFS) is applicable for the cases where term loan for purchase of benchmarked machinery had been sanctioned during the period from 01/04/2007 to 28/06/2010. The scheme was implemented through designated lending agencies. The branch of the bank from where the unit had availed term loan for purchase of benchmarked machines under the Scheme used to submit details of the account in reporting formats N-1 and N-2.

The format N-1 contains information on basic details of the unit (name, address, PAN No., SSI/Non-SSI status, company status), existing capacity, proposed capacity, project cost and term loan details whereas N-2 contains list of machines proposed to be acquired along-with basic cost and annexure of the GR. Based on N-1 and N-2, TUFS Cell of the Nodal Agency/Nodal Bank used to determine eligibility of the assets acquired by the entity and subsequently communicate the amount of term loan eligible for interest reimbursement subsidy (IR) and basic cost of machinery eligible for capital subsidy (CS) to the unit.

As per the Protocol on physical inspection of the machinery for ongoing accounts under previous versions of TUFS (MTUFS, RTUFS and RRTUFS), lending agency has to upload six mandatory documents for enabling Regional Office of Textile Commissioner to examine the documents and schedule physical verification of the claimed machinery. N-1 and N-2 are one of the six mandatory documents enlisted in the protocol.

As the MTUF Scheme is more than 12 years old, the lending agency has informed about non-availability of N-1 and N-2 with them. Whereas to avoid any unintended consequences to the unit due to non availability of N1 and N2 with the banks, equivalent/corroborative documents in lieu N-1 and N-2 to ascertain basic requisite details to enable physical verification of machines will be accepted.

The equivalent/corroborative documents for N-1 will be DPR/project report submitted to the bank with loan application, registration certificates with Government authorities whereas for N-2 it will be extract of the project report providing machines which was proposed for purchase, extract of the internal process note of eligibility assessment under the scheme wherein total basic cost of machines considered eligible.

Whereas considering corroborative documents in lieu of N1 and N2, the reasons for non-availability of N-1 and N-2 with the lending agency will need to be categorically indicated on the file while processing the case by Office of Textile Commissioner. The decision on each case should be like a speaking order categorically stating reasons and justifying the documents considered for the processing of the case.

**Agenda No 8(h)**

**Circulated as Supplementary Agenda 4 : Sub Agenda 1**

**Sub Agenda No. 1:- Difficulty in uploading the video record of JIT inspection.**

During the Review Meeting at CSB Bangalore on 04.04.2022, the Nodal Officers of CSB has informed that the provision made in iTUFS portal to upload 2 to 5 minutes video coverage & photos (25MB) of JIT carried out of the unit is not sufficient as time period and size of the video coverage for larger units is very less. Hence, they requested that the condition of taking and uploading video of the JIT may be waived off.

In this regard, point No. 2.3.3.1.n. of the Protocol on physical inspection of the machinery procured under ongoing subsidy accounts pertaining to previous version of TUFs dated 14.06.2019 issued by the MOT is reproduced as under:

***2.3.1.n: JIT will record video of the JIT conducted and upload online in i-TUFS. The video should not be less than 2 minutes and more than 5 minutes period. The JIT will further upload the duly certified Format-A in the i-TUFS software. The video and Format-A, will be within 24 hours of inspection. A suitable arrangement will be made in i-TUFS software to facilitate uploading inspection report and the 2-5 minutes video of the inspection by the team.***

In this connection, Director, Central Silk Board vide e-mail dt 04.04.2022 informed to the OIC, RO TxC, Coimbatore that the Technical Officers of JIT were experiencing difficulty in uploading video of the JIT inspection as the i-TUFS portal accepts the video of 25MB only for a particular account, where as the video has to be taken for 2 to 5 minutes so as to cover all the machines purchased by the unit under TUFs scheme. Hence, they requested to examine the above issue.

**Decision of the Committee:** As per the protocol upload of video of 2-5 minutes of 25 MB size in the i-TUFS portal is mandatory while the iTUFS portal also has the provision to upload at the most 5 photos of physical JIT inspection. It has also been seen that almost all the JITs have uploaded geo tagged time stamped photos of physical JIT inspection in iTUFS.

In view of the request of CSB to examine the issue and the existing provision to upload geo tagged time stamped photos for physical JIT inspection, provision to upload video of 2-5 minutes of 25 MB in the i-TUFS portal may be waived off and upload of geo tagged time stamped photos of physical JIT inspection may only be made mandatory. Accordingly, the matter may be taken up with MoT for necessary changes in the protocol issued by MoT as it is the part of protocol.

**Decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee deliberated and decided that the provision to upload video of 2-5 minutes of 25 MB in the i-TUFS portal may be waived off and upload of geo tagged

time stamped photos of physical JIT inspection may be made mandatory. The matter may also be taken up with MoT for necessary changes in protocol issued by MoT as it is the part of protocol.

**IMSC was requested to ratify the decision of 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC to waive off upload of video of JIT and to make upload of geo tagged time stamped photos of physical JIT inspection in iTUFS mandatory. Accordingly, modify the protocol of previous versions of TUFS.**

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC after deliberations did not agree with the decision of TAMC and decided to continue with the existing direction to upload video of JIT inspection. In case of any network problem, the concerned officer can upload the video after returning to the Office. The IMSC further directed to enhance the capacity of server, if needed.

**Supplementary Agenda no 9:  
Circulated as Supplementary Agenda no . 03**

A representation has been received from the All India Man-Made Metallic Yarn Association dated 14.10.2021 for withdrawal of restriction of Handloom Sector for machines covered at Sr. No. 12,13,14,15 & 16 in MC-5 of GR on Amended TUFS. The Association has informed that the said machines i.e. Coating Machine for Metallic Yarn, Universal Double Covering Machine for Jari Processing only, Micro Slitting machine for Jari Processing only, Coating Vacuum Metalizer for Jari Processing only and Twisting Doubling machine for Jari Processing only, have been installed by Surat based Jari Processing Units and due to the restriction of their being eligible for Handloom Sector only, these units are deprived of the benefits of ATUFS.

The Association has also informed that the said machines have no direct or immediate next chain connection in Handloom and that Handloom segment will not be capable to invest such a huge amount to purchase these machines. The use of such machines is not feasible under the Handloom Sector and the data of National Handloom of the past two decades will reflect that none of the above said machines were installed in the Handloom Sector

**Decision Taken in 29<sup>th</sup> ITC:** As articles of clothing with zari have been reserved for exclusive production by Handloom, vide The Handlooms (Reservation of Articles for Production) Act, 1985, this issue may not be in the purview of ITC. As such the matter may be taken up with the Development Commissioner Handlooms, Ministry of Textiles, New Delhi.

In this connection, e-mail dated 07.12.2021 has been sent to the concerned.

An e-mail dated 05.01.2022 from All India Man Made Metallic Yarn Slitter Association and representation through HMoST for Railways and Textiles vide letter dated 24.01.2022 have been received further on the subject.

**Decision Taken in 37<sup>th</sup> ITC:** The Committee suggested that an Expert Committee may be formed to study and review whether these machines (Sr.No. 12,13,14,15 at MC-5) are falling under any segment of textile industry. In addition, the opinion of DC (Handloom) may be taken in the matter.

**Decision Taken by 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC:** The Committee reviewed the case and accepted the recommendations of the ITC. The Committee also directed to formulate the Expert Committee, which may submit its report within 15 days from the date of issuance of order for constitution of the Committee. The above decision of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC was placed for information of the IMSC.

Decision of 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: The IMSC noted the decision to constitute the Expert Committee, which will submit its report within 15 days from the date of issuance of order for constitution of the Committee.

**Agenda 10: General: For Ratification:**

**Minutes of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC and 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC for Ratification by MoT/ IMSC.**

The minutes of 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC were forwarded to MoT vide letter no. 12(10)/28thTAMC/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/91 on 22.04.2022

Decision of the 6<sup>th</sup> IMSC: IMSC ratified the minutes of 27<sup>th</sup> TAMC and 28<sup>th</sup> TAMC.

**Agenda 11: Any other subject with the permission of Chair:**

Following issues were submitted:

**11.1** Shri Selvaraju, SG SIMA, raised issue of Technical Textiles units under older TUFS. The updated position was intimated.

**11.2** Shri Narendra Goenka, Chairman, AEPC raised issue of Hi Sea Sales , and earlier demands relating to eligibility linked to period of loans retrospectively, machine identification in garmenting etc. HMoT directed to examine the issue in detail. With regard to the request for providing retrospective effect of certain provisions of revised GR of 2018, it was directed to examine the reasonableness and justification of such requests for taking a policy decision.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair and participants of IMSC.



**List of Participants**

1. Shri Piyush Goyal, Hon'ble Minister for Textiles-in chair
2. Smt. Darshana Vikram Jardosh, Hon'ble Minister of State for Textiles-Co-chairperson
3. Shri Upendra Prasad Singh, Secretary (Textiles)
4. Shri Vijoy Kumar Singh, Additional Secretary, MoT
5. Shri Shashi Ranjan Kumar, AS&FA (also represented Secretary, D/o Expenditure)
6. Shri Sanjay Rastogi, Development Commissioner (Handloom)
7. Smt. Prajakta L Verma, Joint Secretary (TM&T), MoT
8. Smt. Roop Rashi, Textiles Commissioner, Mumbai
9. Shri Moloy Chakraborty, Jute Commissioner
10. Shri Rajit Ranjan Okhandiar, Member Secretary, Central Silk Board
11. Ms. Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General, O/o TxC Mumbai
12. Shri Sudhir Kumar, Advisor, NITI Aayog
13. Shri S.P. Verma, Addl. Textile Commissioner, O/o TxC Mumbai
14. Director, Department of Financial Services
15. Smt. Richa Gupta, Deputy Secretary, MoT
16. Director, DPIIT
17. Sh. Vikas Dogra, Director, M/o Heavy Industries
18. Sh. Praveen Kumar, Dir(EP-Text), D/o Commerce
19. Shri Ajay Pandit, Director, ROTXC, Noida
20. Shri Anil Kumar K.C, Under Secretary, MoT
21. Shri Narottam Kumar, Assistant Director, O/o TxC, Mumbai
22. Shri Murukesh Kumar, CGM, IDBI
23. Shri S S Acharya, General Manager, SIDBI
24. Smt. Sandhya Sirish Walimbe, Chief Manager, State Bank of India
25. Shri Prateek Bachkaniwala, Chairman, Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association of India (TMMAI)
26. Shri T Rajkumar, Chairman, Chairman, Confederation of India Textile Industry (CITI)
27. Shri Bharat Gandhi, Chairman, Federation of India Art Silk Weaving Industry, Surat
28. Shri Naren Goenka, Chairman, AEPC
29. Shri S K Menon, Deputy Secretary Indian Woolen Mills Federation (IWMF), Mumbai
30. Shri Vishwanath R. Agarwal, Vice-Chairman, Powerloom Development & Export Promotion Council (PDEXCIL)
31. Shri Raghvendra Gupta, Chairman, Indian Jute Mills Association (IJMA), Kolkata
32. Sh. Mohan Sadhwani, ED, Clothing Manufacturers Association of India, Mumbai
33. Shri Anup Rakshit, ED, Indian Technical Textile Association (ITTA)
34. Shri S Jagdish Chandran, Secretary, South India Spinners Association, Coimbatore
35. Shri K Selvaraju, Secretary General, The Southern India Mills' Association, Coimbatore
36. Shri Sachin Kumar, E.D. Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association of India (TMMA)



## Action Taken Report

S.N.	Policy clarification /decision	Action taken
1.	<b>Agenda item no. 4(III):</b> Applicability of standalone Embroidery machine since inception of the ATUFS (2016).	Being Implemented for 101 cases. Out of these, 94 cases have been settled and remaining 7 cases will be settled in April 2022.
2.	<b>Agenda 5:</b> Treatment of invoices issued prior to date of sanction of term loan by the last bank in consortium finance: Consortium finance	Being implemented for 3 cases. Out of these 01 case has been settled and remaining 2 cases are pending due to over invoicing issue.
3.	<b>Agenda item no. 6A(II):</b> Total time period for both the unit and bank will be 90 days for submission of UID application which could not be submitted /forwarded to iTUFS due to COVID-19 pandemic (second wave) in which cutoff date for submission/forward of application to iTUFS falls between 23.03.2021 and 22.10.2021 with effect from 23.10.2021.	Circular in this effect was issued, for, wide publicity, system generated email, marquee run in TUFs portal etc.  However only 428 out of 1795 condoned cases have availed the opportunity given by 5 <sup>th</sup> IMSC.
4.	<b>Agenda item no. 7:</b> Decision to allow complete production line under Technical Textiles in more than two components purchased from different vendors.	Implemented for all 3 cases. Cases settled
5.	<b>Agenda item no. 8:</b> Allow Proprietary technology of label weaving machine.	Being Implemented for 13 cases. Out of this, 11 cases have been settled and remaining cases will be settled in April 2022.
6.	<b>Agenda item no. 10:</b> The Committee ratified the decoding procedure submitted by the machine manufacturer and recommended by Office of the Textile Commissioner through TAMC. This procedure will be applicable for	Being implemented for 128 cases. Out of these 55 cases have been settled and remaining cases will be settled in April 2022.

	the cases since inception of the scheme (2016).	
7.	<b>Supplementary agenda 2:</b> IMSC ratified the recommendation of TAMC for revised payment certificate format. This will be applicable for the cases since inception of the Scheme (2016).	Being implemented. Cases are being processed as per decision of IMSC.

2. With reference to MoT letter dated 3.12.2021 regarding “Action to be taken based upon the decisions taken in the 5<sup>th</sup> IMSC meeting held on 22.10.2021, status of proposals sought by Ministry is given below:

S.No.	Issue	Action taken
1.	To examine issue relating to consideration of cases under left out category of technical textiles under RTUFS due to sectorial cap of subsidy in detail with due cognizance to all decisions including cabinet decision on all older TUFs .	Detailed proposal was sent to MoT by this office vide letter no. 5/10/Policy matters/2021/RTUFS dated 07.03.2022 in reply MoT has sought additional information which is being sought from banks(Committed liability with segment of left out category cases)
2.	To compile and forward Agenda items to Ministry in advance for the suggested cycle of quarterly meeting of IMSC meeting	Draft agenda is attached
3.	Expedite claims to utilize entire BE and submit proposal for additional allocation, if any, required to be sought under supplementary demands	As against RE of Rs. 650 Cr. (Revised to 625 Cr.) claims worth 636.4 Rs. have been approved and Rs 625 has been released in 2021-22 as on 30.03.2022
4.	Detail protocol for digital verification of claims under ATUFS	Approval for protocol of automated/ /graded physical verification of machinery received from MoT on 10.01.2022. Development of modality in iTUFS is under process. <b>Demo given by M.s STTL (Existing vendor) for development of automation module was not in line with the</b>



		protocol issued by MoT. Further, contract period of existing vendor has expired on 31.03.2022. Request made for NIC interface
5.	Modality for simplification of enlistment of machinery manufacturers	Started to simplify the enlistment of machinery manufacturers under ATUFS for receiving online application through i-TUFs portal. As such, the development of required software for online application is under process in coordination with the M/s Silver Touch Technologies Ltd.As regard to enlistment of machine accessories manufacturing, as decided by IMSC, this office have started considering Indian manufacturers based on certification either from local textile machinery manufacturers associations or showing the registration of the unit with any authorities of the government as manufacturers of machines accessories/parts and in case of foreign manufactures their business license verified by our Indian Embassy and their embassy in India or their empanelment with any authorities of the government as textiles machines accessories or parts manufacturers.



**Case detail for Agenda No. 4**

- The unit had applied subsidy under RRTUFS with the subsidy value of Rs.19,77,51,057/-
- Subsequently, vide their letter dated 03.02.2017, the unit applied for one time correction in the UID for the reduction of committed liability from Rs.19,77,51,057 to Rs.10,22,64,036.
- The unit created another UID application on 22.09.2017 under ATUFS with the TUF Ref. No ATUFS/2017- 18/1319 for the sanction of term loan dated 31.03.2017. However, as the committed liability (RRTUFS and ATUFS together) breached the ceiling limit, the unit could not submit their ATUFS application for UID.
- On 03.05.2018, request of the unit for one time correction in reduction of committed liability subsidy to Rs.10,22,64,036/- under RRTUFS was approved by the Competent Authority.
- Upon correction of the committed liability in the i-TUFS, the unit vide their letter dated 26.08.2019 had requested for condoning delay in submission of the UID as they could not submit their ATUFS application for UID in iTUFS due to delay in onetime correction in committed liability of RRTUFS.



**ANNEXURE-IV****List of units for condoning delay in upload of JIT report beyond 2 days of Inspection under ATUFS- 13 cases.**

<b>S.No</b>	<b>Unit Name</b>	<b>TUFS Ref. No</b>
1	TejaniTextrend	ATUFS/2016-17/138
2	VANDANA TECHNOFAB PRIVATE LIMITED	ATUFS/2017-18/2717
3	BHASKAR SILK MILLS PVT.LTD.	ATUFS/2017-18/3030
4	SITARAM TEXTILE	ATUFS/2018-19/3678
5	M/S MAYANK PROCESSORS PVT LTD	ATUFS/2016-17/2176
6	Twisha Textiles	ATUFS/2019-20/655
7	Shree Raj Textiles	ATUFS/2019-20/656
8	MEERA TEXTILE	ATUFS/2017-18/2749
9	Jayraj Synthetics	ATUFS/2019-20/658
10	M/s. Mercury process	ATUFS/2019-20/2632
11	M/s. Sri Vijayalakshmi printing	ATUFS/2019-20/2593
12	M/s Srishti Apparels	ATUFS/2019-20/2200
13	M/s. Aditya Exports	ATUFS/2019-20/671

